

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR
Before the Single Bench of Mrs. Nupur Banerjee, Member
Case No. RERA /CC/138/2021
RERA/AO/78/2021

Santosh Kumar

.....Complainant

Vs

M/s Manik Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondent

Project: Madhuban City

31/07/2024

O R D E R

This matter was last heard on 29.04.2024 when the complainant was absent and the respondent's Advocate Mr. Sharad Shekhar was present. The matter was fixed for orders, however, due to pre-occupation of the Bench in other matters, order could not be pronounced on the date fixed.

The respondent submitted that it is a case of refund of Rs. 75,000/-, out of which he has already refunded Rs. 50,000/- to the complainant and the remaining amount will also be refunded soon. On 29.04.2024, the learned counsel for the respondent stated that he has already refunded the money that the complainant claimed. The complainant was absent in the case on all the hearing dates. The complainant stated in his complaint petition that he wanted the refund of the amount against the booking cancelled by the promoter. He had booked a plot of 1200 Sq. ft. @ 900 per sq. ft. (Plot No. C-2) in Madhuban City site from Mr. Kaushal Kishore, Managing Director of M/s Manik Realtors Pvt. Ltd. The promoter himself cancelled the booking and not refunding the amount. Altogether he has paid Rs. 86,000/- by cash and NEFT/ RTGS from 27.12.2018 to 31.12.2018. The relief sought by him is to refund of Rs. 86,000/- plus interest for two years. Since his booking has been cancelled by the promoter, so he wants his full amount along with full interest. The complainant has submitted the money receipts.

The Bench takes the notes of submission of Shri Sharad Shekhar, learned counsel for the respondent who submitted that the respondent has already refunded the money that was claimed by the complainant. After perusal of the record the Authority observes that after having given several opportunity to the complainant he has neither appeared in the case nor has filed any reply in this regard. Under such circumstances, it seems that the complainant has lost interest in the case, hence the same is dismissed as infructuous.

Sd/-

Nupur Banerjee
Member