## REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR Before the Single Bench of Mrs. Nupur Banerjee

## Complaint Case No. RERA/CC/516/2019

Mrs. Anju Jha......Complainant

V/s

M/s DDL Infratech Pvt. Ltd.....Respondent

**Project: Agrani Woods** 

For Complainant: Mr. UdayBhan Singh, Advocate

For Respondent: Mr. Rabindra Kumar, Advocate Mr. Shiv Kumar, M.D.

## 20/09/2022

## ORDER

The matter was last heard on 17-08-2022.

- 1. This complainant petition has been filed seeking relief to direct the respondent to provide physical possession of land with all the amenities as committed under the terms of sale deed no.12150, dated 23-12-2014. Further the complainant also seeks to direct the respondent to compensate by paying interest @10% on the total value of land for delay in delivery of the possession of the plot and to pay compensation of Rs.25,000/- for inconvenience, harassment and mental torture. Complainant has also prayed to direct the respondent to pay Rs.25,000/- as the litigation cost.
- 2. In short, the case of the complainant is that the respondent has executed the Sale Deed No. 12150, dated 23-12-2014, in favor of complainant for the plot measuring 2722 sq. ft. on the consideration amount of Rs. 7 lakh after the payment of full consideration amount. It has been further submitted that respondent has given assurance to provide well planned society with the facilities of good drainage system, electricity etc. but when complainant approached the plot, no development found as per deed and asked for physical possession. It has been further submitted

- that after the lapse of more than 4 years and after several requests the plot has been not handed over to complainant with development. Hence, this complaint.
- 3. The complainant has filed an affidavit on 23-06-2022 along with photographs stating therein what are the works which has not been done at the project sites like earth filling, approached road, electricity line/street light, storm water drain, sewage drain, water line laid up to plot etc. showing through photographs. It has been further submitted that possession of plot has been given but the rectification is not done.
- 4. Perused the record of the case. The respondent has filed its affidavit dated 28-06-2022 along with photographs showing the development at project site. It has been submitted by the respondent in affidavit that in compliance of the court order, the respondents have been offered Company Plot No. 51 and the rectification is required, it shall be done as soon as they receive the approval for the final draft and intimation regarding the date for rectification from complainant. It has been further submitted that respondent has completed the provision of 30ft. Wide Road, Layout of Drainage, Water Supply and Electricity as per the agreement. It has been further stated that respondent has developed the land as per the agreement and in proof, the photograph is attached here with, for perusal and needful.
- 5. The respondent has filed an application on 30-07-2022 as well as on 04-08-2022 along with copy of rectification deed and receipt of map submitted before Patna metropolitan, Patna and prayed to dispose of the case in the light of submissions made.
- 6. During the last hearing, learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the development has not been made. Rectification has been made.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the registry has been done and the development has also been made. He further submitted that he had filed an affidavit attaching photographs of development done.

The Bench takes the notes of committee report dated 18-02-202 placed on record for kind perusal as submitted by the committee went for inspection as per the direction of the Authority by giving their findings therein.

The Bench notes that no further affidavit or photographs showing development at the project site has been filed by any of the parties, hence, the order is being pronounced on the basis of documents, available on record or produced during the course of hearings by both the parties.

In the light of the submissions, advanced by learned counsel for the parties and documents placed, this Bench deals the issue raised in the present complainant in the following manner:-

As regards the possession of plot, the Bench takes the notes of submissions of both the parties submitted on affidavit as well submissions made during the course of hearings that possession of plot has been handed over and observes that this issue has been addressed and complied by the respondent.

As regards the receipts of map placed by respondent submitted before the competent authority is concerned, the Bench takes the notes of the same and directs the respondent to provide the copy of map to allottees as well as complainant as soon as it gets approved by the competent Authority. In meanwhile provide a copy of map submitted during taking the registration of the project from the Authority to complainant or allottees who wish to take.

As regard Development is concerned, the Bench takes the notes of photographs showing development of the project, placed by both the parties as well as many photographs and videos of the project indicating development shown during the course of hearings and observes that it cannot be said that no development work has been done by the respondent at the project site but yes, few more works are needed to be done at the project site to say that project is complete and developed in all respect, hence, taking the notes of validity period of registration of the project is from 25-10-2019 to 31-12-2024, the Bench, directs respondent to complete the work of development at the project sites required to be completed as per agreement within the validity period of project or as soon as possible, so that, complainant/allottees can stay/ live there in better environment.

As regard, the execution of rectification deed is concerned, the Bench takes the notes of rectification deed placed on record by respondent as well as the submissions of the parties in respect to the same and observes that this issue has been addressed and complied by the respondent.

As regards claim for compensation is concerned, the complainant is at liberty to press the same before the A.O. as per the provisions of the Act.

With these directions and observations, this complaint petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Nupur Banerjee Member