

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY (RERA), BIHAR**

**Before the Single Bench of Mr. Naveen Verma, Chairman**

**Case No. CC/480/2021**

**Rajesh Kumar Sharma**

**Vs.**

**..... Complainant**

**M/s Shine City Infra Project Pvt. Ltd.**

**..... Respondent**

**Project: Shine City**

**ORDER**

**19.05.2022**

This matter was last listed on 21.04.2022 and 18.05.2022. It was earlier heard by the Full Bench in 2021.

The case of the complainant is that the complainant booked plot no. G-17, in Tashi sector – Mega PIP for which he paid Rs. 1,00,000/- on 13.10.2018. Since, the respondent had not handed over the plot till date the complainant has filed the case for refund of paid amount along with interest and compensation. He has filed the money receipt dated 13.10.2018.

Perused the records. No reply has been filed by the respondent.

The Authority notes that the respondent has violated Section 3 of RERA Act, 2016 as the respondent was continuously advertising, marketing, booking, selling apartments/plots without registering the real estate project with RERA. This matter may be included in the Suo Moto proceeding against the respondent company. It is observed that the respondent company has not applied for RERA

registration as yet, and hence, the offence is of continuing nature.

During the course of hearing it was further observed that the respondent never appeared before the Bench. An interim order was passed on 24.02.2021, directing to freeze all the bank accounts of the respondents and further directed the IG registration not to register any apartment/plots of the aforesaid project and the matter was further referred to Economic Offence Wing of Bihar Police.

On the request made by the Authority, the EOU vide letter no:- 5941 dated 23.08.2021 requested the SSP, Patna to look into the matter and take necessary action against the respondent company and connected persons.

The Bench notes that despite issuance of notice dated 13.05.2022, complainant failed to appear before the Bench on 18.05.2022.

The Bench observes that the respondent has been absent on all the dates of hearing. The Bench observes that the allottee has not sent any communication to the promoter regarding cancellation of booking. The Authority can be approached only after the promoter fails to respond to such communication. However, since the matter has already been heard, and the promoter is not appearing, orders are being pronounced.

After considering the documents filed and submission made by the complainant, the Bench hereby directs the respondent company and their directors to refund the principal amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- to the complainant along with interest at the rate of marginal cost of fund based lending rate (MCLR) of State Bank of India as applicable for three years from the date of taking the booking till the date of refund within sixty days of issue of this order.

The complainant is at liberty to approach the Adjudicating officer under relevant sections of the Act for

their claims, which are in the nature of compensation from the respondent company.

With these directions and observations, the matter is disposed of.

**Naveen Verma**  
**(Chairman)**