

**REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, BIHAR**

**Before the Single Bench of Mrs Nupur Banerjee, Member**

**Case No.RERA/SM/606/2023**

**Authorized representative of RERA .....Complainant**

**Vs**

**M/s Grih Vatika Homes Pvt. Ltd. ....Respondent**

**Project:At Ambika Vatika**

**Present: For Complainant: Mr. Rishikesh Rajan, Advocate**

**For Respondent: Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate**

**31/07/2024**

**PROCEEDING**

Hearing taken up. Both the parties are present through their respective learned counsel.

The promoter has been called for suo moto case as because there is an advertisement and the plan of the project being found in public view on website but the respondent promoter states that the project mentioned in the advertisement as well as the plan shown in the advertisement is not linked with their project and the respondent company has filed an F.I.R. against those website who has advertised this project. So they may not be associated with this allegation.

The written application is not found in the file. However, the respondent promoter is directed to submit another copy of the application.

The Bench will decide this matter and act accordingly.

Order is reserved.

**Sd/-  
Nupur Banerjee  
Member**